

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/705,775	11/12/2003	Kazuhiro Maeda	1035-480	4369
23117 NIXON & VA	7590 07/22/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH C	ELEBE ROAD, 11TH F	RAINEY, ROBERT R		
ARLINGTON	, VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/705,775	MAEDA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ROBERT R. RAINEY	2629	

	ROBERT R. RAINEY	2629					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 03 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of th application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period value of 27 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
Ametabulari vi a) \(\begin{align*} \begin{align*} \text{The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) \(\begin{align*} \begin{align*} \text{The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (b) \(\begin{align*} \begin{align*} \begin{align*} The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection filed after a file	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);					
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)		cted claims.					
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all	21. See attached Notice of Non-Con						
non-allowable claim(s). Not proproses of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided in the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but 		•					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
/Amare Mengistu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitations to claims 1-3,18 and 19, would require further consideration and search.